United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,097	01/20/2004	Berthold Hahn	5367-252PCON.	4556	
27799	7590 09/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
COHEN, PO	ONTANI, LIEBERMAN	TRINH, MICHAEL MANH			
SUITE 1210	VENOE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, NY 10176		2822		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/762,097	HAHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Trinh	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this command the comment of the comm				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 At	ugust 2006		•			
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 34</u> is/are pending in the app	dication	· .				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	minioni consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·		1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0. 3					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National St	age			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2822

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

*** This office action is in response to Applicant's Amendment and RCE filed August 21, 2006. Claims 1-17,34 are pending. Claims 18-33 were canceled by Applicant.

*** The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1,3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawaguchi et al (Article title "The formation of crystalline defects...", 1998, pp 24-26).

Re claim 1: Kawaguchi teaches (at pages 24-28) a method for forming a light-emitting device (page 24, last 7 lines) comprising at least the steps of: forming at least one compound semiconductor layer based on gallium nitride and being an active layer or a part of an active layer sequence of the light emitting device (page 24, last 7 lines, pages 25,27); and setting growth parameters used during production of the compound semiconductor layer such that, at least in some cases in a vicinity of dislocations in the compound semiconductor layer, regions are produced in the compound semiconductor thickness than remaining regions of the layer having a lower compound semiconductor layer (Fig 4, page 28), and, re claim 1, so as to build up a shielding energy barrier in the regions having the lower thickness more than the other remaining regions, inherently. Re claim 3, the regions are formed with the lower thickness to be less than half as thick as the remaining regions of the compound semiconductor layer (as shown in Figure 4b; page 28). Re claim 4, wherein the compound semiconductor layer is formed from an $\ln_x A \ln_y Ga_{1-x-y} N$ compound semiconductor, where 0 <= x <= 1, 0 <= y <= 1 and x+y <= 1 (page 24, last 7 lines; Abstract; page 25). Re claim 5, wherein AlGaN is provided when x=0 in the $\ln_x A \ln_y Ga_{1-x-y} N$ (page 24, last 7, lines).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 2,6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi et al (Article title "The formation of crystalline defects...", 1998, pp 24-26) taken with Applicant's admitted prior art (present specification page 1-3).

Art Unit: 2822

Kawaguchi teaches (at pages 24-28) a method for forming a light-emitting device as applied to claims 1,3-5 above. Re claim 12, wherein the substrate includes sapphire (page 25, left column, lines 14-20).

Re claim 2, Kawaguchi teaches forming a light emitting device (LED), but lacks detailing about forming a first coating layer and second coating layer as in claim 2. Re claims 7-8, the first and second coating layer including $Ga_uAl_{1-u}N$. Re claim 9, MOCVD for depositing the coating layers. Re claim 10, including a buffer layer on the substrate. Re claim 11, the buffer layer include $Ga_mAl_{1-m}N$.

However, re claim 2, Applicant's admitted prior art teaches (at specification page 2, line 6 through page 3) forming a first coating layer formed from a compound semiconductor based on gallium nitride of a first conductivity type on the substrate; forming the compound semiconductor layer, as a light-emitting layer, over the first coating layer; and forming a second coating layer formed from a compound semiconductor based on gallium nitride of a second conductivity type over the light-emitting layer, a composition of the compound semiconductor layer based on gallium nitride differing from a composition of the compound semiconductor of the first and second coating layers (present specification page 2, lines 6-26); wherein, re claims 7-8, the first and second coating layer include AlGaN layer (present specification page 2, lines 20-25); wherein, re claim 9, MOCVD is used for depositing the coating layers; and wherein, re claims 10-11, a buffer layer of GaN (m=1) is formed on the substrate, and wherein the first coating layer is formed on the buffer layer (present specification page 2, lines 20-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the light emitting device of Kawaguchi by forming a first coating layer and a second coating layers of AlGaN layer with a buffer layer on the substrate as taught by Applicant's admitted prior art. This is because of the desirability to form a high power structure blue and violet light emitting diode device.

Re claim 6, Kawaguchi does not detail about doping with foreign substance.

However, Applicants' admitted prior art also teaches (at present specification page 3, lines 22-25) doping the light-emitting layer with a p-type foreign substance and/or an n-type foreign substance to improve the luminance.

Art Unit: 2822

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the light emitting device of Kawaguchi by doping the light-emitting layer with a p-type foreign substance and/or an n-type foreign substance as taught by Applicant's admitted prior art. This is because of the desirability to improve the luminance of the light emitting device.

3. Claims 13-17,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi et al (Article title "The formation of crystalline defects...", 1998, pp 24-26) taken with Mukai (Article title "InGaN-Based Blue Light Emitting Diodes..." L839-841).

Kawaguchi teaches (at pages 24-28) a method for forming a light-emitting device as applied to claims 1,3-5 above, wherein re claim 34, wherein forming the at least one compound semiconductor includes forming the active layer or a part of the active layer of the light emitting device (page 24, last 7 lines, pages 25,27).

Re claims 13-17, Kawaguchi teaches forming an active layer, but lacks mentioning, re claim 13, the active layer sequence with a quantum film structure, re claim 14, including at least one GaN quantum film; re claim 15, as an InGaN/GaN quantum film structure; re claim 16, with at least one undoped GaN quantum film; and re claim 17, with a GaN quantum film or with an intrinsic GaN quantum film.

However, Mukai teaches (at Figure 1; page L839) forming a light emitting diodes including an active layer sequence with a quantum film (single quantum well, SQW, re claim 13), wherein the quantum film includes at least one GaN quantum film (re claim 15), wherein the quantum film structure includes an InGaN/GaN (Figure 1; re claim 16); wherein the quantum film includes at least one undoped GaN quantum film (Figure 1, re claim 17); and wherein the quantum film includes a GaN quantum film as an intrinsic GaN quantum film (Figure 1, re claim 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the light emitting device of Kawaguchi by forming the active layer sequence with the single quantum film as taught by Mukai above. This is because of the desirability to form a highly efficient blue/green InGaN singly quantum well structure light emitting diodes (LED).

Art Unit: 2822

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's remarks filed August 21, 2006 with respect to claims 1-17,34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Applicant remarked that "...forming a shielding energy barrier...is not disclosed in Kawaguchi...", as "...the indium mole fraction is higher in the regions of greater thickness...".

In response, this is noted and found unconvincing. First, claimed subject matter, not the specification, is the measure of invention. Limitations in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. In Re Self, 213 USPQ 1,5 (CCPA 1982); In Re Priest, 199 USPQ 11,15 (CCPA 1978).

Nowhere in the claimed invention as recited in base claim 1 requires any of indium mole fraction. Contrary to Applicant's remarks, Kawaguchi clearly discloses the claimed limitations by setting growth parameters to form region having a lower thickness than remaining regions of the compound semiconductor layer as claimed. In the absence of no objective evidence to prove to the contrary between the <u>claimed</u> invention as recited in base claim 1 and that of Kawaguchi, the reduction in the thickness of the compound layer near the vicinity of dislocations, as similarly disclosed by Kawaguchi, also causes to build up shielding energy barrier, inherently.

By growing to form the compound semiconductor layer as disclosed by Kawaguchi, which is *similar to the invention as claimed* in claim 1, in which in a vicinity of dislocations in the compound semiconductor layer, the compound semiconductor layer is formed with regions having lower thickness than remaining regions of the compound semiconductor layer so as to a shielding energy barrier is building up in the regions having the lower thickness more than the other remaining regions, inherently.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 Am to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The central fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2822

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Oacs-18

Michael Trimit

Primary Examiner

Page 6